

Boise State University



About This Report

About Your Multi-Year Report

For institutions participating in multiple NSSE administrations since 2013, the year of the last survey update, this report presents year-to-year results for Engagement Indicators (EIs), High-Impact Practices (HIPs), and key academic challenge items to illustrate patterns of change or stability. It also provides details such as number of respondents, standard deviation, and standard error so that statistical tests can be calculated.

For more information and recommendations for analyzing NSSE data over time, view our webinar entitled the *Recommendations for Using Multiple Years of NSSE Data*: nsse.indiana.edu/html/webinars.cfm

This report contains three main parts: (a) a page that provides a quick reference to important information about each year's administration, (b) multi-year figures, and (c) detailed statistics. Key terms and features are illustrated below.

Report sections

Administration Summaries (p. 3)	A summary of respondent counts, response rates, sampling errors, and administration details for each participation year.
Engagement Results by Theme (pp. 4-7	Results for ten EIs and selected individual survey items are displayed, organized under four broad themes. The Academic Challenge theme is represented by four EIs as well as several individual items. The three remaining engagement themes (Learning with Peers, Experiences with Faculty, and Campus Environment) are each represented by two EIs.
High-Impact Practices (pp. 8-9)	Results for six HIPs are displayed. First-year student results indicate students who participated in service-learning, a learning community, and research with faculty, and who planned to do an internship or field experience, study abroad, and a culminating senior experience. Senior results indicate students who participated in all six.
Detailed Statistics (pp. 10-13)	Displays detailed information for results including counts, standard errors, and confidence intervals (CIs) for each measure.

Interpreting year-to-year results

When examining year-to-year results, you may wonder whether observed differences signify meaningful change and whether a trend is indicated. Figures display CIs around each score showing the range of values that is estimated to contain the population score 95% of the time. Upper and lower CI bounds are also reported in the Detailed Statistics section.

For further investigation

The Report Builder, updated with current data in the fall, allows for multi-year analysis of Engagement Indicators and individual items (including HIPs). It also affords the analysis of results by subpopulation.



Administration Summaries Boise State University

The precision of an institution's population estimates can vary between administrations. An important early step in conducting a multi-year analysis is to review data quality. The values in the tables below were drawn from your *Administration Summary* reports.

Response Details by Participation Year

		Fi	rst-year studen	nts		Seniors										
Year	Response rate ^a	Sampling error ^b	Total respondents ^c	Full completions	Partial completions	Response rate ^a	Sampling error ^b	Total respondents ^c	Full completions	Partial completions						
2013																
2014																
2015	18%	+/- 4.3%	433	354	79	26%	+/- 2.6%	1,021	852	169						
2016																
2017																
2018	20%	+/- 4.0%	489	390	99	18%	+/- 3.6%	600	512	88						
2019																
2020																

Admin	istration Detail	s by Participation	Year				
	Recruitment		Incentives		Report Sample		
Year	method	Sample type	offered	Additional question sets	identified ^d	BCSSE	FSSE
2013							
2014							
2015	Email	Census	Yes	Academic Advising, Transferable Skills	No	No	No
2016							
2017							
2018	Email	Census	Yes	Academic Advising	No	No	No
2019							
2020							


Note: All of your institution's participation years since 2013 (the first year of the updated NSSE) are reported. Years in which your institution did not participate are blank.

- a. Response rates (number of respondents divided by sample size) are adjusted for ineligibility, nondeliverable addresses, and students who were unavailable during the survey administration.
- b. Sampling error gauges the precision of results based on a sample survey. It is an estimate (at the 95% confidence level) of how much survey item percentages for your respondents could differ from those of the entire population of students at your institution. While data with larger sampling errors (such as +/-10%) need not be dismissed out of hand, such results should be interpreted more conservatively.
- c. Count used to calculate response rates and sampling errors for each Administration Summary report. Includes all census-administered and randomly sampled students, regardless of "Report Sample" designation.
- d. Starting in 2017, institutions had the option to flag a subset of students for exclusion from reports. Refer to your Administration Summary report(s).



Engagement Results by Theme Boise State University

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. The Academic Challenge theme contains four EIs as well as several important individual items. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.



a. Values for Course Reading and Assigned Writing are estimates calculated from two or more survey questions.


b. Extent to which courses challenged students to do their best work (1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").

c. How much students said the institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work (1 = "Very little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit," and 4 = "Very much").



Engagement Results by Theme Boise State University

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. The Academic Challenge theme contains four EIs as well as several important individual items. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.



a. Values for Course Reading and Assigned Writing are estimates calculated from two or more survey questions.


b. Extent to which courses challenged students to do their best work (1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").


c. How much students said the institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work (1 = "Very little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit," and 4 = "Very much").



Engagement Results by Theme Boise State University

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. Each theme below is represented by two EIs. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.








Engagement Results by Theme

Boise State University

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. Each theme below is represented by two EIs. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.







High-Impact Practices Boise State University


Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." The figures below display first-year students' participation, or intent to participate, in High-Impact Practices (HIPs) by year. See page 13 for detailed statistics. For more information, refer to your *High-Impact Practices* report.





High-Impact Practices Boise State University

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." Participation in High-Impact Practices (HIPs) by year is displayed in the figures below. See page 13 for detailed statistics. For more information, refer to your *High-Impact Practices* report.





Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items

Boise State University

				Firs	st-year s	tudent	S		Seniors								
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Academic Challenge																	
Higher-Order Learning	Mean			37.3			37.0					40.1			38.7		
o o	n			383			420					920			551		
	SD			13.5			12.2					14.1			13.9		
	SE			.69			.60					.46			.59		
	CI upper bound			38.7			38.1					41.0			39.9		
	CI lower bound			36.0			35.8					39.2			37.6		
Reflective & Integrative	e Mean			35.2			35.7					38.8			37.1		
Learning	n			395			447					954			571		
Learning	SD			12.5			11.4					12.8			12.3		
	SE			.63			.54					.41			.51		
	CI upper bound			36.4			36.7					39.6			38.1		
	CI lower bound			34.0			34.6					38.0			36.1		
Learning Strategies	Mean			37.7			36.8					39.2			37.3		
	n			365			401					889			530		
	SD			14.5			13.8					14.9			14.6		
	SE			.76			.69					.50			.64		
	CI upper bound			39.2			38.1					40.2			38.5		
	CI lower bound			36.2			35.4					38.2			36.0		
Quantitative Reasoning	g Mean			27.9			29.3					30.0			29.7		
	n			392			405					946			534		
	SD			15.8			14.4					17.2			16.5		
	SE			.80			.71					.56			.72		
	CI upper bound			29.5			30.7					31.1			31.1		
	CI lower bound			26.4			27.9					28.9			28.3		
Academic Challenge (add	ditional items)																
Preparing for Class	Mean			14.0			14.8					15.7			16.1		
(hours/week)	n			345			395					851			515		
, ,	SD			8.2			7.4					9.4			9.2		
	SE			.44			.37					.32			.41		
	CI upper bound			14.9			15.5					16.3			16.9		
	CI lower bound			13.2			14.1					15.0			15.3		
Course Reading	Mean			6.4			6.3					7.8			7.7		
Estimated hours per week	n			344			393					839			513		
calculated from two survey	SD			5.4			5.1					6.5			6.7		
questions.	SE			.29			.26					.23			.30		
	CI upper bound			6.9			6.8					8.2			8.2		
	CI lower bound			5.8			5.8					7.4			7.1		

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE).



Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items

Boise State University

				Firs	t-year s	tudent	5					Senio	rs					
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	20	13	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Academic Challenge (additi	ional items, coi	ntinued)																
Assigned Writing	Mean			47.9			54.5						77.7			82.3		
Estimated number of pages	n			334			405						807			532		
calculated from three survey	SD			47.8			65.8						83.4			101.1		
questions.	SE			2.61			3.27						2.94			4.38		
	CI upper bound			53.1			60.9						83.4			90.9		
	CI lower bound			42.8			48.1						71.9			73.8		
Course Challenge	Mean			5.2			5.2						5.5			5.4		
Extent to which courses challenged	n			368			401						900			527		
students to do their best work (1 =	SD			1.3			1.1						1.3			1.3		
"Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").	SE			.07			.06						.04			.06		
	CI upper bound			5.3			5.3						5.6			5.5		
	CI lower bound			5.0			5.1						5.4			5.3		
Academic Emphasis	Mean			3.1			2.9						3.1			3.0		
Perceived institutional emphasis on	n			353			397						858			521		
spending significant time studying	SD			0.8			0.8						0.8			0.8		
and on academic work (1 = "Very	SE			.04			.04						.03			.03		
little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit,"	CI upper bound			3.1			3.0						3.2			3.1		
and 4 = "Very much").	CI lower bound			3.0			2.9						3.1			3.0		
Learning with Peers																		
Collaborative Learning	Mean			30.1			34.1						33.1			33.6		
9	n			410			478						963			580		
	SD			13.9			13.4						14.0			14.5		
	SE			.69			.61						.45			.60		
	CI upper bound			31.5			35.3						34.0			34.7		
	CI lower bound			28.8			32.9						32.2			32.4		
Discussions with Diverse	Mean			38.5			40.1						39.5			39.5		
Others	n			362			405						890			528		
Others	SD			16.2			15.0						16.2			15.6		
	SE			.85			.75						.54			.68		
	CI upper bound			40.1			41.6						40.5			40.9		
	CI lower bound			36.8			38.6						38.4			38.2		

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE).



Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items Boise State University

			First-year students Seniors												rs					
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Experiences with Faculty																				
Student-Faculty	Mean			18.5			20.5						19.7			22.7				
Interaction	n			392			432						941			561				
interaction	SD			14.2			13.5						15.4			15.9				
	SE			.72			.65						.50			.67				
	CI upper bound			19.9			21.8						20.7			24.0				
	CI lower bound			17.1			19.2						18.7			21.4				
Effective Teaching	Mean			37.8			37.6			-			38.4			38.2				
Practices	n			396			419						954			551				
ractices	SD			13.0			12.0						14.0			13.8				
	SE			.65			.59						.45			.59				
	CI upper bound			39.0			38.7						39.3			39.3				
	CI lower bound			36.5			36.4						37.5			37.0				
Campus Environment																				
Quality of Interactions	Mean			41.2			42.3						41.4			41.2				
Z, 2	n			352			377						842			480				
	SD			12.5			11.4						12.6			12.5				
	SE			.66			.59						.43			.57				
	CI upper bound			42.5			43.4						42.2			42.3				
	CI lower bound			39.9			41.1						40.5			40.1				
Supportive Environment	Mean			34.3			34.1						28.8			28.3				
	n			347			391						845			514				
	SD			13.5			12.2						14.1			12.9				
	SE			.73			.62						.48			.57				

35.3

32.9

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE).

35.7

32.9

CI upper bound

CI lower bound

29.4 27.2

29.8

27.9



Detailed Statistics: High-Impact Practices

Boise State University

											,						
				Firs	st-year s	student	S						Senio	ors			
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Service-Learning ^a	%			48			49					67			76		
	n			367			397					898			524		
	SE			2.6			2.5					1.6			1.9		
	CI upper bound (%)			53			54					70			79		
	CI lower bound (%)			43			44					64			72		
Learning Community ^a	%			11			13					21			23		
	n SE			370 1.6			400					893			524		
	SE CI upper bound (%)			1.6			1.7 16					1.4 24			1.8 26		
	CI lower bound (%)			14 7			10					24 18			26 19		
	Criower bound (%)			6			6					20			27		
Research with Faculty ^a	n			366			399					885			525		
	SE			1.2			1.2					1.3			1.9		
	CI upper bound (%)			8			8					23			30		
	CI lower bound (%)			3			3					17			23		
Internship or Field	%			69			71			-		50			52		-
•	n			370			399					898			528		
Experience ^b	SE			2.4			2.3					1.7			2.2		
(First-year results: Plan to do)	CI upper bound (%)			74			76					53			56		
	CI lower bound (%)			64			67					47			48		
Study Abroad ^b	%			34			42					6			8		
(First-year results: Plan to do)	n			367			399					896			525		
(First-year results: Plan to do)	SE			2.5			2.5					8.0			1.2		
	CI upper bound (%)			39			47					8			10		
	CI lower bound (%)			29			37					5			6		
Culminating Senior	%			57			59					44			53		
Experience ^b	n			366			399					891			523		
•	SE			2.6			2.5					1.7			2.2		
(First-year results: Plan to do)	CI upper bound (%)			62			64					47			57		
	CI lower bound (%)			51			54					40			48		
Overall HIP Participat	ion ີ																
Participated in one HIP	%			42			42					27			19		
•	n			372			400					903			529		
	SE			2.6			2.5					1.5			1.7		
	CI upper bound (%)			47			47					30			22		
	CI lower bound (%)			37			37					25			16		
Participated in two or	%			10			12					59			71		
more HIPs	n			372			400					903			529		
	SE			1.5			1.6					1.6			2.0		
	CI upper bound (%)			13			15					62			75		
	CI lower bound (%)			7			9					56			67		

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SE = Standard error of the proportion (sqrt[(p*(1-p))/(n-1)]) where p is the proportion; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (p+/-1.96 * SE).

IPEDS: 142115

a. Results are the percentage who had done the activity.

b. First-year results are the percentage who planned to do the activity; Senior results are the percentage who had done the activity.

c. First-year results are limited to participation in a Learning Community, Service-Learning, and Research with Faculty; senior results include all six HIPs.